# **The Effect of Phospholipase A2 Immobilization upon Calcium Interaction: A Kinetic Study<sup>1</sup>**

# **Ricardo R. Madoery,\* Clelia Gonzalez Gattone,\* and Gerardo D. Fidelio<sup>1</sup> - 2**

*"Cdtedra de Qulmica Org&nica, Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias, and ^Departamento de Qutmica Bioldgica-CIQUIBIC, Facultad de Ciencias Qutmicas, Universidad National de Cdrdoba, 5000 Cdrdoba, Argentina*

Received June 1, 1999; accepted September 21, 1999

**In this work we studied the effect of Ca2+ on the ability of immobilized PLA2 to hydrolyze phospholipid substrates either in aggregate or monomeric forms. We use a kinetic methodology for the determination of dissociation constants of soluble and immobilized PLA2-Ca2+ complexes. This approach allows us to obtain the values of the dissociation** constants of enzyme-Ca<sup>2+</sup>  $(K_x)$  and enzyme-Ca<sup>2+</sup>-substrate  $(K'_x)$  complexes from the kinetic **data obtained at different substrate and Ca2+ concentrations. Results using mixed micelles of phospholipid-Triton X-100 showed that, in most cases, productive complexes were destabilized by immobilization of PLA2. However, a correct analysis of the interaction must be independent of the classical modes of PLA2 action toward lipid surfaces. Thus, a substrate in monomeric form was also employed to analyze the effect of immobilization on hydrolysis rate in the absence of interfacial activation. Kinetic data showed that the immobilization affected severely the mode of PLA2 action. The kinetic data also suggested that immobilization promoted conformational alterations in the Ca2+-binding site, destabilizing the productive complex enzyme-Ca2+-phospholipid.**

**Key words: calcium activation, immobilization, kinetic, phospholipase A2, phospholipid hydrolysis.**

In biological media, enzymes frequently act in naturally immobilized forms. The mode of immobilization can range from the relatively weak (primarily electrostatic) interactions characteristic of peripheral proteins, to the strong (predominantly hydrophobic) interactions of integral proteins (*1).* Bound enzymes rather than soluble or free forms could be the *"in vivo"* catalytic agents (2). Immobilized enzymes (IE) can display different properties from the respective soluble enzymes (SE) in solution. Alterations can be observed in pH optimum, binding constants, reaction rates, stability, and selectivity (3). Furthermore, the removal of an enzyme from its membrane environment can lead to changes in properties ranging from complete loss of activity to modifications in the kinetic mechanism (4).

A more realistic compromise between classical enzymology and the complex biological systems could be reached by studying enzymes in immobilized forms. Phospholipases develop their catalytic action at interfaces, and the interfacial activation phenomenon in the phospholipid

© 1999 by The Japanese Biochemical Society.

hydrolysis is well known (5). For example, phospholipase  $A_2$  (PLA<sub>2</sub>) [EC 3.1.1.4] acts either in the scooting (6) or in the hopping mode of hydrolysis (7), depending on the enzyme source and the substrate type. In either case, the catalyst is activated at the phospholipidic surface, then develops its action by promoting the release of a fatty acid and a lysoderivative molecule. This mechanism may not fit well for an immobilized PLA<sub>2</sub>. Furthermore, considering the essential activator (8) role of  $Ca^{2+}$  in the mechanism of action of secretory  $PLA_2s$ , the study of immobilization effects on binding constants specifically referred to as immobilized  $\text{PLA}_2 - \text{Ca}^{2+}$  interaction is of great importance.  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  has received considerable attention due to its involvement in a variety of different physiological and pathological functions (9).

The study of the binding of soluble ligands to surfaces could be complex. Most of the methods applied to interaction studies (spectroscopic and others) are not completely useful here, because the ligand is interacting with an insoluble bound enzyme. Titration microcalorimetric methodology may be suitable for this purpose, but the heat involved in the interaction can be masked by lipid hydrolysis. Nevertheless, only kinetic methods offer information about specific interactions involved in the catalysis. In this paper we use a kinetic method to obtain dissociation constants of immobilized  $PLA_2 \text{-} Ca^{2+}$  complexes. This attempt is based on the interaction theory model for enzyme activation proposed by Segel (8) and Cornish-Bowden *(10). In* this report we have compared the behavior of  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  in its soluble and in immobilized forms towards micellar and monomeric substrates, in order to investigate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was supported by SECyT National University of Córdoba, CONICOR and CONICET, Argentina. Dr. G. Fidelio is career researcher from CONICET.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: gfidelio@ dqbfcq.fcq.unc.edu.ar, Phone:  $+54$  351 433 4168/4171, Fax:  $+54$ 351 433 4074

Abbreviations: SE, soluble enzyme; IE, immobilized enzyme; CM-Sephadex, carboxymethyl Sephadex; Tris, Tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PLA<sub>2</sub> phospholipase  $A_2$ ; SL, soybean lecithin; CMC, critical micellar concentration.

the influence of the topological state of the substrate on the immobilized system, especially on the enzyme-Ca<sup>2+</sup> interaction. By comparing the dissociation constant values of calcium complexes and the kinetic parameters of both phospholipase forms, it is possible to analyze different immobilization effects.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Reagents and Chemicals*—PLA2 from bee venom *(Apis meUifera)* was purchased from Sigma Chem. (St. Louis, USA) and used without further purification. The enzyme has an apparent molecular mass of 19 kDa and an isoelectric point of 10.5 *(11).* Stock and assay solutions of enzyme were prepared in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.2. PLA<sub>2</sub> from cobra venom *(Nqja nqja naja)* in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution  $0.35 \ \mu g/\mu l$  was also used. The *N. naja naja* enzyme was a gift from Dr. I. Bianco. This  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  was purified as described in Ref. *12.*

Carboxymethyl Sephadex C-25 (CM-Sephadex), a weakly acidic cation exchanger, was obtained from Sigma. This polyanionic support is based on a crosslinked dextran matrix with a dry bead size of  $40-125 \mu m$  and a capacity of 4.0-5.0 meq/g. Eupergit C, an uncharged support, was obtained from Pharma Polymers Rohm (Germany). This hydrophilic and electroneutral acrylic polymer contains oxirane derivatives as reactive groups that bind covalently sulfhydryl, amino, or hydroxyl compounds. The content of oxirane groups is higher than 600  $\mu$ mol per gram (dry) *(13).*

Soybean lecithin (SL), a mixture of neutral and anionic phospholipids, was purified as described in Ref. *14.* Its composition was: phosphatidylcholine (33.4%), phosphatidylethanolamine (28.6%), phosphatidylinositol  $(29.6%)$ , and phosphatidic acid  $(8.3%)$ . The mixture was decationized to eliminate naturally present calcium. For this purpose, all the reactives were separately treated using a cation exchanger Dowex 50Wx8 Rohm & Haas (Germany). Egg phosphatidylcholine (egg PC) was purchased from Sigma. Synthetic dihexanoyl phosphatidylcholine (Di-C6-PC), also from Sigma, was used as short-chain phospholipid substrate for kinetic studies in the monomeric state. All the phospholipids used were checked for calcium by absorption spectroscopy. Triton X-100 was obtained from Rohm & Haas. Tris, PBS, potassium barbital, calcium chloride, and all the other reagents were of analytical grade.

*Enzyme Immobilization*—Ionic immobilization of bee venom PLA2 *(Apis mellifera)* onto CM-Sephadex was carried out according to a preceding paper *(14).* For the covalent coupling of cobra venom PLA<sub>2</sub> (N. naja naja), the enzyme solution in PBS was incubated for 24 h in the presence of the acrylic polymer Eupergit C. The immobilized PLA<sub>2</sub> system was filtered in vacuum to obtain the wet form of the immobilized enzyme (IE). Fixed activity was estimated from the activity of the initial enzyme solution and the remaining activity in the supernatant immediately after immobilization.

*Enzymatic Assay*—For long-chain phospholipids (SL and egg PC), the activity of either soluble enzyme (SE) or the immobilized form (IE) was estimated using phospholipid-Triton X-100 (molar ratio 1:4) mixed micelles. In the IE system the amount of immobilized  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  was identical to that used in the SE assays. Assays were carried out in 50 mM Tris, pH 8.1, at  $40^{\circ}$ C in a defined range of CaCl<sub>2</sub>. When the support of the immobilized system was Eupergit C, it was necessary to use a potassium barbital buffer instead of Tris, because of the reactivity toward oxirane groups of the latter. Short chain phospholipid (Di-C6-PC) solutions were prepared in Tris buffer with the corresponding amount of  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$ .

The reaction medium (2 ml) was rotated in a rotator system Buchi RE-111 for 1 min at 60 rpm. The control assay was performed without enzyme.  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  activity (initial rate) was obtained by titrimetric microdetermination of released fatty acids as described previously *(14).* All the values given are averages of at least duplicate assays.

*Determination of CMC of Di-C6-PC by ANS Fluorescence*—The critical micellar concentration (CMC) value of the short-chain phospholipid Di-C6-PC was checked by fluorescence spectroscopy using 1-anilino 8-naphthalene sulfonic acid (ANS) as fluorescent probe. When the phospholipids aggregate, the relative fluorescence intensity increases abruptly. Fluorescence was excited at 350 nm and emission was measured at 490 nm. Dilutions were made in a concentration range below and above the reported CMC value of Di-C6-PC of 14 mM *(15).* The experimental value obtained was 12.5 mM.

*Cornish-Bowden Treatment and Determination of Kinetic Parameters*—The Cornish-Bowden treatment allows us to obtain the values of dissociation constants of enzyme complexes with  $Ca^{2+}$  activator. Essentially the same methodology was used to investigate the type of activation as has been used in linear inhibition *(16),* by replacing *i* (inhibitor) by  $1/x$  and  $K_i$  (or  $K'_{i}$ ) by  $1/K_{i}$  (or  $1/K'_{i}$ ), where *x* represents the activator concentration. For example, *Kx* was determined by a plot analogous to a Dixon plot in which  $1/v$  is plotted against  $1/Ca^{2+}$  at two or more values of phospholipid concentration *(s)*; the abscissa corresponding to the interception point of the resulting straight lines gives  $1/K_x$ . A similar procedure but with  $s/v$  as the ordinate was followed to obtain *K'x.* At the interception of the inverses, the velocities plotted at 5 and 10 mM substrate equalize, a fact on which the mathematical treatment to obtain  $K_x$  and  $K'_x$  is based (10). These constants and the enzymatic kinetic parameters ( $V_{\text{max}}$  and  $K_{\text{m}}$ ) were determined using the GraFit software *(17)* by applying a nonlinear regression treatment for curve fitting. Results are the means of two or three independent experiments performed in duplicate.

*Definition of Constants*—Kinetic constants were derived from experimental data obtained at initial rate conditions, assuming a steady-state approach in which the complex concentration is a constant.

 $K_{\text{mca}}^{2+}$  = affinity apparent constant, refers to the amount of Ca<sup>2+</sup> required to achieve one-half of the maximum initial rate achieved at 5 mM phospholipid concentration (provides general information on  $Ca^{2+}$  binding).  $K_x =$ equilibrium dissociation constant for the binary complex  $PLA_2$ -Ca<sup>2+</sup> (provides specific information on Ca<sup>2+</sup> binding).  $K'_{x}$  = equilibrium dissociation constant for the ternary complex  $PLA_2-Ca^{2+}$ -substrate (provides information on specific  $Ca^{2+}$  binding).  $V_{\text{max}} = \text{maximum velocity}$ .  $K_m = \text{the usual}$ Michaelis-Menten constant.

#### RESULTS

*Immobilization*—Bee venom PLA<sub>2</sub> (Apis mellifera), having positive net charge under the immobilization conditions, was fixed to CM-Sephadex by ionic adsorption. The non-covalent binding yielded a highly stable system and a considerable retention of enzymatic activity. The results were similar to those previously reported *{14).*

Covalent immobilization of cobra venom PLA<sub>2</sub> (N. naja *naja)* on the neutral acrylic polymer Eupergit C through oxirane groups was also satisfactory. The retained activity for this particular system was about 48% compared to the original soluble activity. Basically, this covalent linkage is the result of a nucleophilic attack on oxirane carbon. However, enzymes from such sources as bee venom or bovine pancreas lead to practically inactive immobilized adducts. Presumably, in these cases, the amine groups of the catalytic site are involved in the covalent binding and the enzyme loses most of its activity. In the case of *N. naja* naja PLA<sub>2</sub>, covalent coupling onto acrylic beads yielded a stable IE: the conjugate maintained almost all the original activity through ten cycles of reaction with different batches of substrate.

*Enzyme Activation Model in the Kinetic Assays Ap-*

TABLE I. **Kinetic parameters for soluble and immobilized PLA2s in the different systems.**

		Soluble	Immobilized	$SE$ to $IE$			
Svstem	Parameter	enzyme	enzyme	parameter			
		(SE) (IE)		ratio			
		(Normalized values <sup>*</sup> )					
А	К.,		0.47	2.1			
	$V_{\text{max}}$	100	54	1.9			
B	$K_n$		0.31	3.2			
	$V_{max}$	100	35	2.9			
С	$K_{\rm m}$		0.92	1.1			
	$V_{\tt max}$	100	51	2.0			
D	Initial rate at	$150 + 5$	$136\pm 6$	1.1			
	10 mM Di-C6-PC	(U/mg)	(U/mg)				

"The values were normalized relative to  $V_{\text{max}}$  (100  $\overline{U/mg}$ ) and  $K_{\text{m}}$  (1 mM) obtained for soluble enzymes (SE). However, SE and IE in each of systems A, B, and C were prepared with the same batch of enzymes. In systems A, B, and D, the enzyme used was bee venom PLAj *(Apis mellifera*), and the immobilized form was CM-Sephadex-PLA<sub>2</sub>. In system C, the enzyme was cobra venom PLAj *(Naja naja naja)* and the covalent immobilized form was Eupergit-PLA,. The amount of PLA<sub>2</sub> in all systems was 10  $\mu$ g in a final reaction volume of 2 ml. For system D, the values of the initial rate at the maximal concentration of substrate that can be achieved in the monomeric form (di-C6-PC) are reported. The substrate in systems A, B, and C was phospholipid (respectively egg PC, SL, and egg PC) in mixed micelles with Triton X-100 (1:4 molar ratio) in a concentration range of 2 to 30 mM. The  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  concentration for all the systems was near to that of the maximal activation effect of the cation (at least 0.5 mM).

*plied*—There are direct methods to determine the equilibrium constants for ligands. Furthermore, in a related work *(18),* the dissociation constant values were obtained by monitoring the susceptibility to alkylation of His-48 at the catalytic site of pig pancreatic  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$ . The same authors studied the role of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  binding in the stabilization of the transition state. Their results provided evidence for the hypothesis that the catalytic and the interfacial binding steps are kinetically and functionally distinct. Nevertheless, in the case of enzymes, only kinetic methods allow measurement of the effectiveness of the catalyst-ligand interaction, because the values of the constants are obtained directly from enzyme kinetic data.

In this work, the experimental design was based on four assay systems (A, B, C, and D) for soluble (SE) and immobilized phospholipase  $A_2$  (IE), as described in Table I. Table I reports the kinetic parameters  $V_{\text{max}}$  and  $K_{\text{m}}$ obtained by non-linear regression fitting of the data *(17)* according to similar experimental conditions as described previously by the authors *(14).* Figures 1 to 4 show the curves representing initial rates against  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration for SE and IE for all the systems assayed at 5 and 10 mM substrate. Table II show the values of  $Ca^{2+}$  dissociation constants obtained from the respective inverse plots, as described in "MATERIALS AND METHODS." In system D, in which the short-chain Di-C6-PC substrate has a CMC of



Fig. 1. **Effect of calcium concentration on egg PC (mixed micelles) hydrolysis by soluble and immobilized PLA2B from bee** venom **(system A).** The initial rate was measured at 5 mM (main figure) or 10 mM (insert) of substrate for soluble *(Z)* or immobilized on CM-Sephadex ( $\bullet$ ) PLA,. See "MATERIALS AND METHODS" for details. Bars represent mean $\pm$ SDM (standard deviation mean).

TABLE II. Dissociation constants of Ca<sup>2+</sup> complexes and  $K_{m\text{c}}$  values for soluble and immobilized PLA<sub>2</sub>s in all the systems assayed.

Parameter		System A		Svstem B		System C		System D	
	SE	Œ	SE	IE	SE		SE		
Am ca	$0.11 + 0.01$	$0.18 + 0.02$	$0.05 + 0.01$	$0.14 + 0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.02$	$0.32 + 0.04$	$0.90 + 0.14$	$0.76 \pm 0.09$	
K,	$0.20 + 0.02$	$0.21 + 0.03$	$0.05 + 0.01$	$0.08 + 0.02$	$0.21 + 0.01$	$0.08 + 0.02$	$2.10 + 0.20$	$0.75 + 0.11$	
,,,	$0.10 + 0.01$	$1.18 + 0.16$	$\approx 0.001$	$0.04 + 0.01$	$5 \pm 1$	$6 \pm 1$	$0.98 + 0.08$	$3.85 \pm 0.32$	

Systems A, B, C, and D were defined in Table I. The values of constants are the means of two or three independent experiments,  $\pm$ SDM (standard deviation mean). See 'MATERIALS AND METHODS\* for experimental details.

12.5 mM, the kinetic constants ( $V_{\text{max}}$  and  $K_{\text{m}}$ ) could not be calculated, since a complete Michaelian curve could not be achieved at substrate concentrations below the CMC (the monomeric region). Similar behavior was reported by other authors  $(19)$ . However,  $PLA_2$  activities at 5 and 10 mM Di-C6-PC allowed us to compare SE and IE. Both the SE and the IE gave similar initial rates vs. Ca<sup>2+</sup> profiles at high substrate concentration.

In this report, we mainly studied the influence of  $PLA_2$ immobilization upon  $Ca^{2+}-$ enzyme interaction and its kinetic implications. The analysis was based on the general model of activation described previously *(8, 10).* Basically, the model contemplates three kinds of mechanisms: (i) specific activation, (ii) mixed activation, and (iii) partial or non-essential activation. The PLA<sub>2</sub> activity was null in the absence of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and this fact is consistent with an essential activation mechanism. Hence, the general scheme is:

$$
S + EX \rightleftharpoons EXS \rightleftharpoons EX + P \n\begin{array}{c}\n\parallel K_x \\
E+X \\
\parallel E+X\n\end{array}
$$

Where: S is the substrate (phospholipid), E is the  $PLA_2$ enzyme (either SE or IE form), and X is the activator  $(Ca^{2+})$ .  $K_x$  and  $K'_x$  are the dissociation constants of the enzyme complexes EX and EXS, respectively. The corresponding velocity equation is given by Ref. *10:*

$$
v = \frac{V \cdot S}{K_m(1 + \frac{K_x}{X}) + S(1 + \frac{K'_x}{X})}
$$

where V and  $K<sub>m</sub>$  are the limiting rate and Michaelis constant respectively for the activated enzyme, *i.e.*, PLA<sub>2</sub> with  $Ca^{2+}$  bound to it.

*Kinetic Assays Using Micellar Substrates*—In system A, IE showed a greater affinity (minor  $K<sub>m</sub>$ ) toward egg PC than the soluble form (SE) (see Table I). The large surface of the support may offer additional non-specific sites of substrate, thereby improving the contact with the enzyme *(20).* However, the IE had a lower activity with a  $V_{\text{max}}$  about two times lower than SE, indicating a less effective catalytic cycle. Two reasons can be considered to explain this behavior. First, the immobilized  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  does not achieve a complete interfacial activation; and second, although the substrate-binding site was not adversely affected, the immobilization takes place with changes in the conformation of the catalytic domain involving His 48 and Asp 99 *(21)* or, alternatively, the support imposes some physical constraint on the tertiary structure of the protein. In most naturally occurring biological membranes the net charge is negative, and it has been shown that the density of surface charge could alter the behavior of several enzymes located at the membranes  $(22)$ . In system A,  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  ionically immobilized onto CM-Sephadex and acting upon egg PC/ Triton mixed micelles showed in general a lower  $Ca^{2+}$ affinity (higher  $K_{\text{mca}}$ , see Table II). The activator requirement was greater for the IE form, indicating that the polyanionic support competes directly with the enzyme in Ca2+ binding, or that some conformational change alters the cofactor interaction domain of the enzyme. Two glycine residues and one aspartic acid (Asp 49) in  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  seem to be involved in the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding domain (21). The experimental data obtained with system A suggest that immobilization does not affect the specific interaction  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$ -activator,

immobilized form (Table II). However, the dissociation constant of the ternary productive complex  $PLA_2 \text{-} Ca^{2+}$ . phospholipid  $(K'_{\mathbf{x}})$  for the IE was 12 times higher than SE. This greater instability suggests that conformational changes might alter the specific site of the interaction enzyme-substrate-activator. The same represents the proper interaction with enzymes, and hence the large difference between the two PLA<sub>2</sub> forms is not related to  $Ca^{2+}$ -solid support binding. In principle, *K'x* is a dissociation constant for specific activator binding not including other hypothetical interactions. Since the Tris buffer concentration was at least 10-fold higher than the cofactor concentration, a clear difference in this equilibrium constant probably reflects a direct effect due to the immobilization and not an effect of the support by sequestering  $Ca^{2+}$ . Ionic interactions will be negligible in solutions of high ionic strength. Higher *K'<sup>x</sup>* value for IE indicates lower specific affinity for the activator (EXS more dissociated). As a consequence, the activation effect was lower for IE at low calcium concentration, but the differences between the bound and soluble forms of the  $\frac{1}{2}$  concentration increases (Fig. 1). Mechanistically, in accordance with the Cornish-Bowden approach  $(10)$ , our results were consistent with a mixed activation model. In this case, the activator is not indispensable for substrate binding, but only for catalysis. This suggests a random mechanism in which  $Ca^{2+}$  combines with PLA<sub>2</sub> independently of phospholipid and the latter combines with the enzyme independently of the activator. Nevertheless, only a ternary complex (EXS) leads to products.

since similar values of *Kx* were obtained for soluble and

The reduction of  $V_{\text{max}}$  upon enzyme immobilization in system B was in keeping with the values obtained for system A but more pronounced (see Table I). We also included substrate with negatively charged phospholipid (SL). In this case, immobilization led to a lower affinity for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (IE had higher  $K_{\text{mca}}$ ), but the effect was stronger than in the previous case (Fig. 2 and Table II). This could be interpreted as follows. It was described previously that the specific affinity of  $Ca^{2+}$  for phospholipids, including those negatively charged, was low, with dissociation constants in the 0.1 M order *(23).* However, the local calcium concentration at the negatively micelle surface should be higher due to the double layer effect, and the actual free bulk Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration that senses the immobilized enzyme is different (lower) than that of completely neutral substrate (system A). As the specific affinity of  $Ca^{2+}$  for the enzyme, soluble or immobilized, is in the mM range (Table II) and these values are about  $10^2$ -10<sup>3</sup> times higher than the specific affinity of this cation for phospholipids *(23),* it can be concluded that the specific complex phospholipid- $Ca^{2+}$  is not relevant in the proposed model of activation (see the scheme above). In this connection, similar conclusions were obtained earlier by de Haas and coworkers using pancreatic PLA<sub>2</sub> (24), in which the kinetic data were not in agreement with the viability of  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ -phospholipid complex. The same conclusion was reached by Wells *(25)* using *Crotalus adamanteus* PLA<sub>2</sub> and the soluble dibutyrylphosphatidylcholine substrate. The immobilization in system B virtually modifies the mechanism, because  $K'$ **x** was  $\sim$ 0 for SE. This indicates that the soluble enzyme interacts with the substrate only in its enzyme-activator complex state. In practice, from the Cornish-Bowden viewpoint, this situation configures either a specific type of activation model, or represents an extreme case of a mixed type activation model. On the other hand, the value of *K'x* for IE was 0.04, indicating that the immobilized  $PLA_2$  form without activator binds substrate with a higher affinity than the soluble form. For either SE or EE, the presence of anionic phospholipids (SL) leads to more stable ternary productive complexes (compared to pure zwitterionic PC). For system B, the negative surface charged substrate in an aggregate form plays an important role in the  $PLA_2-Ca^{2+}$  interaction, a property that has been explained in terms of a specific bridge of the metal between  $PLA_2$  and the anionic phosphate group of the substrate *(26, 27).*

Although the optimum pH activity was similar for the soluble and immobilized  $PLA_2$  forms when the support was CM-Sephadex *(14),* in the case of membrane-bound enzymes, interaction with ligands could be modified due to changes of the microenvironment close to biological membranes *(22).* For this reason, and to avoid non-specific ligand interactions, we designed an experiment using an immobilized  $PLA_2$  bound to an electroneutral polymer (system C). In this third assay system,  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  from cobra venom *(N. naja naja)* was covalently immobilized on an electroneutral polymer. This immobilized enzyme showed a lower affinity for Ca<sup>2+</sup>, with a  $K_{\text{mca}}$  for IE clearly higher than the respective value found for ES (Fig. 3 and Table II). In this system, no ionic interaction is possible between the  $\frac{1}{2}$  activator  $Ca^{2+}$  and the support. Thus, structural alteration due to the covalent immobilization is the only factor responsible for the lower  $Ca^{2+}$  affinity observed for the IE system. Covalent protein coupling probably produces certain conformational changes in the  $Ca^{2+}$  binding domain that retains the cation (smaller  $K<sub>x</sub>$  for IE). The values of  $K'_{x}$ , in both cases (ES and IE) were similar but much higher than those found in most of the other systems (compare Tables III, IV, and V). This seems to be an intrinsic characteristic of the *N. naja naja* enzyme.

The IE acting upon micellar substrate (systems A, B, and C) could mimic a typical biological situation in which naturally immobilized  $PLA_2$  develops lipolytic action towards substrate inserted into biomembranes. Also, two action modes had been proposed in the phospholipid hydrolysis by mitochondrial  $PLA_2$ : the intramembrane mechanism and the intermembrane or interorganelle mechanism (28). In principle, this reasoning is consistent with the theory of interfacial recognition suggested by Ferreira *et al. (19)* to explain the action of an immobilized PLA<sub>2</sub>.

*Kinetic Assays Using Monomeric Substrate*—For system D, the substrate was in the monomeric form and it was not possible to achieve a complete Michaelis curve to obtain  $V_{\text{max}}$  and  $K_{\text{m}}$ . However, it is noteworthy that at the highest monomeric concentration (10 mM) of substrate assayed, the rates of hydrolysis were similar for SE and IE independently of Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration (Fig. 4). A similar result was obtained by Lombardo and Dennis using cobra venom PLA<sup>2</sup> and diheptanoyl-PC *(29).* These results contrast with those obtained for micellar systems, in which the  $V_{\text{max}}$  for SE was always higher than that for IE. Ionic immobilization of the bee venom enzyme upon CM-Sephadex affected notoriously the specific domain of  $PLA_2 \text{-} Ca^{2+} \text{-} Di \text{-} C6 \text{-} PC$  interaction, since the dissociation constant of the ternary productive complex  $(K'_{r})$  obtained for IE was four times higher than SE (Table II). This higher value of  $K'_{x}$  indicates that the connection of the protein to the support alters the  $Ca^{2+}$ binding in the EXS conformation. We observed differences in the behavior of the two  $PLA_2$  forms only at the lowest substrate concentration (see Fig. 4). Differences in *K'<sup>x</sup>* could not explain this effect, because the reaction rate values remained different even when calcium concentration



Ca  $^{2+}$  (mM)

**(U/mg)**  $\Diamond$ **200**  $\dot{\circ}$ **150**  $500$ **100** (U/mg) 400 300 200 100 n  $\overline{z}$  $\overline{a}$ 6  $\Omega$ Ca<sup>2+</sup>(mM) o **0 2 4 6 Ca'\*(mM)**

**Fig.** 2. **Effect of calcium concentration on soybean lecithin (SL mixed micelles) hydrolysis by soluble and inunobilized PLA2s from bee venom (system B).** The initial rate was measured at 5 mM (main figure) or 10 mM (insert) of substrate for soluble *(Z)* or immobilized on CM-Sephadex ( $\bullet$ ) PLA<sub>1</sub>. See "MATERIALS AND METHODS\* for details. Bars represent mean±SDM (standard deviation mean).

**Fig.** 3. **Effect of calcium concentration on egg PC (mixed micelles) hydrolysis by soluble and covalent immobilized PLA,s from cobra venom (system C).** The initial rate was measured at 5 mM (main figure) or 10 mM (insert) of substrate for soluble *(Z)* or covalent immobilized on Eupergit ( $\bullet$ ) PLA<sub>2</sub>. See "MATERIALS AND METHODS" for details. Bars represent mean $\pm$ SDM (standard deviation mean).



Fig. 4. **Effect of calcium concentration on D1-C6-PC (mono**mers) hydrolysis by soluble and immobilized PLA<sub>2</sub>8 from bee **venom (system D).** The initial rate was measured at 5 mM (main figure) or 10 mM (insert) of monomeric substrate for soluble (O) or immobilized on CM-Sephadex ( $\bullet$ ) PLA<sub>2</sub>. See "MATERIALS AND METHODS" for details. Bars represent mean±SDM (standard deviation mean).

was increased. A possible explanation is that 5 mM Di-C6-PC can not saturate the IE to form EXS productive complex. Immobilized PLA<sub>2</sub> probably remains in an unfavorable spatial position that hinders substrate binding.

For micellar systems A and B, immobilized  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  tends to show a higher substrate affinity (lower *Km),* presumably due to a facilitated targeting allowed by a favorable nonspecific substrate-support interaction *(20).* However, the immobilization markedly decreases the value of  $V_{\text{max}}$  (see Table I). Two hypotheses were proposed above to explain the results obtained for system A. The results obtained with monomeric substrate (System D) validate the first hypothesis, in which the IE activity toward micelles is lower due to severe restrictions against operation in either the scooting or the hopping mode of interfacial hydrolysis. In these interfacial mechanisms the non-immobilized  $PLA_2$ is free to diffuse on the surface of the aggregate substrate *(6)* or to hop among the micelles (7), respectively. With the monomeric substrate, neither the IE nor the SE can act in either of these mechanisms, and this explains why we did not find significative differences in enzyme activity at the highest monomeric substrate concentration possible (see Fig. 4 and Table II). In that condition, without a lipid surface, the behavior of both enzymatic forms was similar. These results also suggest that immobilization does not alter the catalytic step (both  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  forms developed similar activity at 10 mM monomeric substrate), indicating that there is no substantial conformational change at the catalytic domain involving His 48 and Asp 99 *(30).* Mechanistically, system D configured a mixed activation model in which free or immobilized  $PLA_2$  without calcium binds monomeric phospholipid (Di-C6-PC).

### DISCUSSION

In micellar systems, the catalytic step was affected but essentially by altering the mode of  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  action. A strong interaction with the solid support *(14)* would impose severe restrictions on the enzyme to work in the scooting or hopping mode. Taking into account the micelle-to-enzyme mole ratio (about 1,000 to 1) in our assays and the extent of hydrolysis (about 60% of the total substrate in 5 min, data not shown), it may be accepted that the SE enzyme works in the hopping mode (7). Also, it should be emphasized that the rate of hydrolysis was sensitive to bulk substrate concentration, contrary to the expected behavior if the enzyme works in the scooting mode (6). In principle, the lower  $V_{\text{max}}$  for IE in micellar systems it cannot be attributable to a loss of activity due to a random orientation of the  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  adsorbed on the support, in which a certain amount of protein may be inadequately exposed (non active state), since similar activities of bound and soluble enzyme forms are found against higher monomeric substrate concentration. However, others factors may affect the behavior of immobilized enzyme, such as changes in the microenvironment, partitioning and mass transfer effect. Considering our experimental conditions and the observed IE properties *(14),* these factors would not be strongly involved in the differences in the kinetic parameters found for IE compared with SE. These factors were also previously analyzed by other authors, who reached a similar conclusion *(29).*

When the phospholipid was in monomeric state, the  $K_{\text{mca}}$ s of both enzyme forms were several times higher than the respective values for micellar substrates. This suggests that the hydrophobic/hydrophilic character of the interface plays an important role in improving the steady-state binding of calcium to  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$ . This is in agreement with previous studies *(31).*

Straight lines for reciprocals plots obtained from the data of Figs. 1 to 4 confirm that  $Ca^{2+}$  is an essential activator. Hence, the mixed activation mechanism *(10)* is in agreement with what is known about secretory  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  catalysis. For these enzymes,  $Ca^{2+}$  seems not to be indispensable for substrate binding but only for catalysis. On the other hand, if hyperbolic plots instead of straight lines had been obtained for reciprocals, they would have indicated a non-essential (or partial) activator role for  $Ca^{2+}$ , which is not the case for secretory PLA<sub>2</sub>s.

The interaction  $PLA_2-Ca^{2+}-phospholipid$  was dependent on the enzyme (SE or IE) form. In all systems assayed, we found that immobilization enhanced the dissociation of the ternary productive complexes, suggesting that immobilization promotes some conformational alterations in the specific domain of the interaction  $PLA_2-Ca^{2+}-phospholipid$ .

All IE analyzed for immobilization effects clearly satisfy a mixed mechanism type of activation *(10).* By this attempt we evaluated the interaction between the  $PLA<sub>2</sub>$  (soluble or immobilized) and its essential  $Ca^{2+}$  cofactor in the binary  $(PLA_2 \cdot Ca^{2})$  and the tertiary productive  $(PLA_2 \cdot Ca^{2})$ phospholipid) complexes.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Price, N. (1995) Techniques for enzyme extraction in *Enzyme Assays. A Practical Approach* (Eisenthal, R. and Danson M., eds.) pp. 261-262, IRL Press, Oxford
- 2. Sackman, E. (1996) Supported membranes: scientific and practical applications. *Science* **271,** 43-48
- 3. Wolfbeis, O. (1993) Immobilized enzymes in optical biosensors in *Immobilized Macromolecules: Application Potentials* (Sleytr, U., Messner, P., Pum, D., and Sara, M., eds.) pp. 161-165, Springer-Verlag, London
- 4. Tipton, K. (1995) Principles of enzyme assay and kinetic studies in *Enzyme Assays. A Practical Approach* (Eisenthal, R. and Danson, M., eds.) pp. 47-49, IRL Press, Oxford
- 5. Scott, D., White, S., Otwinowski, Z., Yuan, W., Gelb, M., and Sigler, P. (1990) Interfacial catalysis: the mechanism of action of phospholipase A,. *Science* **250,** 1541-1546
- Jain, M. and Gelb, M. (1991) Phospholipase A<sub>2</sub>-catalized hydrolysis of vesicles: Uses of interfacial catalysis in the scooting mode in *Methods in Enzymology, Phospholipases* (Dennis, E., ed.) Vol. 197, pp. 112-125, Academic Press, New York
- 7. Berg, 0., Yu, B., Rogers, J., and Jain, M. (1991) Interfacial catalysis by phospholipase  $A_2$ : determination of the interfacial kinetic. *Biochemistry* **30,** 7283-7297
- 8. Segel, Y. (1993) *Enzyme Kinetics. Behavior and Analysis of Rapid Equilibrium and Steady-State Enzyme Systems,* John Wiley & Sons, New York
- 9. Dennis, E. (1994) Diversity of group types, regulation, and function of phospholipase A,. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269,** 13057-13060
- 10. Comish-Bowden, A. (1995) *Fundamentals of Enzyme Kinetics,* Portland Press, London
- 11. Shipolini, R., Callewaert, G., Cottrell, R., Doonan, S., Vemon, C, and Banks, B. (1971) Phospholipase A from bee venom. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **20,** 459-468
- 12. Reynolds, L. and Dennis, E. (1991) Cobra venom phospholipase A3: *Naja naja naja* in *Methods in Enzymology, Phospholipases* (Dennis, E., ed.) Vol. 197, pp. 359-365, Academic Press, New York
- 13. Eupergit Basic Information—Information leaflets Pharma Polymers, Rohm, Germany
- 14. Madoery, R., Gonzalez Gattone, C, and Fidelio, G. (1995) Bioconversion of phospholipids by immobilized phospholipase A2. *J. Biotechnol.* 40, 145-153
- 15. Roberts, M. (1991) Assays of phospholipases on short-chain phospholipids in *Methods in Enzymology, Phospholipases* (Dennis, E., ed.) Vol. 197, pp. 95-112, Academic Press, New York
- 16. Cornish-Bowden, A. (1974) A simple graphical method for determining the inhibition constants of mixed, uncompetitive and non-competitive inhibitors. *Biochem. J.* **137,** 143-144
- 17. Leatherbarrow, R. (1990) *GraFit Version 2.0,* Erithacus Software Ltd., Staines, UK
- 18. Jain, M., Yu, B., Rogers, J., Ranadive, G., and Berg, O. (1991) Interfacial catalysis by phospholipase  $A_2$ : dissociation constants for calcium, substrate, products and competitive inhibitors. *Biochemistry* **30,** 7306-7317
- 19. Ferreira, J., Sasisekharan, R., Louie, O., and Langer, R. (1993) Influence of chemistry in immobilization of cobra venom phospholipase A,: implications as to mechanism. *Biochemistry* **32,** 8098-8102
- 20. von Hippel, P. and Berg, O. (1989) Facilitated target location in biological systems. *J. Biol. Chem.* **264,** 675-678
- 21. Kuchler, K., Gmachl, M., Sippl, M., and Kreil, G. (1989) Analysis of the DNA for phospholipase  $A_2$  from honey bee venom glands. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **184,** 249-254
- 22. Wojtczak, L. and Nalecz, M. (1979) Surface charge of biological membranes as a possible regulator of membrane-bound enzymes. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 94, 99-107
- 23. McLaughlin, S., Mulrine, N., Gresalfi, T., Vaio, G., and Mc-Laughlin, A. (1981) Adsorption of divalent cations to bilayer membranes containing phosphatidylserine. *J. Gen. Physiol.* **77,** 445-473
- 24. De Haas, G., Bonsen, P., Pieterson, W., and van Deenen, L. (1971) Studies on phospholipase A in its zymogen from porcine pancreas. Ill Action of the enzymes on short chain lecithins. *Biochim. Biophys. Ada* **239,** 252-266
- 25. Wells, M. (1972) A kinetic studies of phospholipase A, *(Crotalus adamanteus)* catalyzed hydrolysis of 1,2 dibutyryl-sn-glycero-3 phosphorylcholine. *Biochemistry* **11,** 1030-1041
- 26. Volwerk, J., Jost, P., de Haas, G., and Grimth, O. (1986) Activation of porcine pancreatic phospholipase  $A_2$  by the presence of negative charges at the lipid-water interface. *Biochemistry* 26, 1726-1733
- 27. Scott, D., Mandel, A., Sigler, P., and Honig, B. (1994) The electrostatic basis for the interfacial binding of secretory phospholipases A,. *Biophys. J.* **67,** 493-504
- 28. Winter, J., Lenting, H., Neys, F., and van den Bosch, H. (1987) Hydrolysis of membrane-associated phosphoglycerides by mitochondrial phospholipase Aj. *Biochim. Biophys. Ada* **917,** 169- 177
- 29. Lombardo, D. and Dennis, E. (1985) Immobilized phospholipase A, from cobra venom. *J. Biol. Chem.* **260,** 16114-16121
- 30. Scott, D., Otwinowski, Z., Gelb, M., and Sigler, P. (1990) Crystal structure of bee venom phospholipase  $A_2$  in a complex with a transition-state analogue. *Science* **260,** 1563-1566
- 31. Bent, E. and Bell, J. (1995) Quantification of the interactions among fatty acid, lysophosphatidylcholine, calcium, dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine vesicles and phospholipase A,. *Biochim. Biophys. Ada* **1254,** 349-360